QUEENSLAND MINING INDUSTRY

HEALTH & SAFETY CONFERENCE 2016 A Past forgotten is a Future repeated

Learning from the past: Pattern causes of death and disaster in extractive industries

Professor Michael Quinlan

School of Management, University of New South Wales, Sydney and Business School Middlesex University London





Content

- Background and methods
- Ten pattern causes
- Some evidence on feedback/oversight
- Observations and Policy Implications
- Some conclusions



SUMMARY OF MINE INCIDENTS EXAMINED & REFERRED TO

DATE	LOCATION	INCIDENT TYPE	FATALITIES
20 SEPTEMBER 1975	KIANGA MINE, QLD	EXPLOSION	13
16 JULY 1986	MOURA NO.4, QLD	EXPLOSION	12
8 JULY 1994	MOURA NO.2, QLD	EXPLOSION	11
14 NOVEMBER 1996	GRETLEY COL.,NSW	INRUSH	4
30 OCTOBER 2000	CORNWALL COL.TAS	ROCKFALL	1
6 JUNE 2001	RENISON MINE, TAS	ROCKFALL	2
5 MAY 2003	RENISON MINE, TAS	ROCKFALL	1
19 MAY 2004	BHP NEWMAN WA	HIT BY MACHINERY	1
25 APRIL 2006	BEACONSFIELD, TAS	ROCK FALL	1 (2 TRAPPED)
19 MAY 1992	WESTRAY, CANADA	EXPLOSION	26
19 NOVEMBER 2010	PIKE RIVER, NZ	EXPLOSION	27
25 SEPTEMBER 2011	GLEISION COL, UK	INRUSH	4

SUMMARY OF MINE INCIDENTS CONTINUED

DATE	LOCATION	INCIDENT TYPE	FATALITIES
7 DECEMBER 1992	NO.3 MINE,VI USA	EXPLOSION	8
23 SEPTEMBER 2001	NO.5 JWR AL USA	EXPLOSION	13
2 JANUARY 2006	SAGO MINE WV USA	EXPLOSION	12
20 MAY 2006	DARBY NO.5 KY USA	EXPLOSION	5
6 AUGUST 2007	CRANDALL UTAH US	FALL OF RIB/FACE	6
5 APRIL 2010	UBB MINE WV USA	EXPLOSION	29



Background and Methods

- Presentation draws on review of official investigations into 24 fatal incidents and disasters in mine in 5 countries (Australia, New Zealand, USA, UK and Canada) 1990 and 2011. Are the repeat or pattern causes underpinning these events?
- Five countries with similar regulatory regimes and governance facilitate generalisation as did the number of incidents examined.
- 15 involved 3 or more deaths while 9 single fatalities (includes 4 fatal mine incidents in Tasmania). Do the causes vary between multiple and single fatality incidents?
- Most multiple fatality incidents occurred in coal mines (86%) and each incident also killed more on average (11 per incident compared to 6 in metalliferous mines)





Why look at failures for patterns?

- Failure can be as instructive as success
- examining series of incidents identifies recurring causes, why systems fail & how to remedy
- Strategic decision making needs to draw on past while recognising risk of misinterpretation & change
- Focus on mining but same approach could be used regarding other industries and types of incidents
- Identified 10 causal pathways to fatal incidents (at least 3 present in virtually all while majority had 5 or more – some had all 10)
- More thorough the investigation the more pattern causes identified



A Past forgotten is a future repeated Pathway 1: Design, engineering & maintenance flaws

How and some incidents where contributed (some examples)

- Failure to provide/maintain plant etc (eg Westray ventilation/monitoring/roof bolting)
- Inadequately planned mining methods & failure to revise (Westray, Crandall Canyon)
- Flawed/misused maps of workings(Gretley)
- Seal design/flaws (Sago & Moura No.2)
- Hydro mining and main ventilator UG (Pike River)
- Inadequate ground support regime (Beaconsfield)
- Poor coal dust control measures (Upper Big Branch)





Pathway 2: Failure to heed clear warning als

- Failure to respond to trends in atmospheric pressure & methane levels (Westray, Pike River)
- Failure to respond to or analyse rockfalls (Cornwall, Renison & Beaconsfield)
- Failure to respond to prior outbursts (Crandall Canyon)
- Failure to adequately respond to evidence of heating (Moura No.2 -note too two prior disasters)
- Evidence of abnormal water prior to inrush (Gretley)



A Past forgotten is a Future repeated Pathway 3: Flaws in risk assessment

- Failure to assess risk of inrush (Gretley)
- Failure to properly assess risks prior to authorising entry (Jim Walter Resources/JWR)
- Failure to do risk assessment following coal outbursts (Crandall Canyon)
- Failure to undertake comprehensive risk assessment after major rockfall (Beaconsfield/BG)
- Failure to risk assess hydro mining or UG main ventilator (Pike River)



HEALTH & SAFETY CONTENT

A Past forgotten is a future repeated Pathway 4: Flaws in management systems

- Poor system structures/communication & over-focus on behaviour or minor safety issues (JWR, BG)
- Inadequate training/procedures (Sago & Darby No.1)
- Failure to maintain safety critical systems –rock dusting, ventilation, equipment – UBB & Pike R)
- Poor management of contractors/work re-organisation (Renison, BHPB, Pike River)
- Poor hazard/risk management systems & worker feedback mechanisms(BHPB)



A Past forgotten is a Future repeated Pathway 5: Flaws in system auditing

- Failure to audit critical safety processes (eg Moura No.2 management of spontaneous combustion)
- Failure to adopt audit findings (BG)
- No proper OHS audit (Pike River)



A Past forgotten is a Future repeated Pathway 6: Economic/reward pressures compromising safety

- Production pressure/cost cutting compromising safe work practices (Westray, UBB, Pike River) or use of consultants/in-house technical expertise (Renison)
- Poor financial state of mine putting miners `under the pump' (Westray, Renison)
- Incentive pay systems encouraging unsafe practices (Westray & Pike River)



A Past forgotten is a Future repeated Pathway 7: Failures in regulatory Oversight

- Insufficient/inadequately trained or supervised inspectors (3 Tas incidents, Sago, Pike River)
- Poor inspection procedures (Crandall, Darby No.1, JWR) including prior notice (UBB)
- Inadequate/poorly targeted enforcement (Westray, Gretley, Sago, UBB, Pike River)
- Flaws in Legislation standards, reporting requirements, sanctions, worker rights (3 Tas, Pike River, UBB & other US disasters)

Pathway 8: Worker, consultant & supervisor concerns prior to incident

How and some incidents where contributed

- Evidence of significant level of serious concerns (Cornwall, BG, UBB)
- worker/supervisors raised concerns but were ignored (Cornwall, BG)
- Supervisor and consultant concerns at Pike River (eq Hydro mining)

Note: this matter seldom seems to be explored in the course of most investigations (BG & UBB exceptional in that interviewed large numbers of miners and even family members)

A Past forgotten is a Future repeated Pathway 9: Poor management/ worker communication/trust

- Prolonged/bitter struggle over unionisation (Westray, BG) or nonunion mine (UBB)
- Inadequate input mechanisms (Ctees & HSRs) & poor response to workers raising safety issues (BG, BHPB)
- Poor management communication processes (Moura No.2)
- Poor management response to worker, supervisor and union concerns (Pike River)



2016 HEALTH & SAFETY CONTENT

A Past forgotten is a Future repeated Pathway 10: Flaws in emergency procedures/resources

- Flaws in emergency procedures, maps or training (Darby No.1, Sago)
- Poor safety management makes rescue more dangerous (Crandall, BG)
- Poor inspectorate/Mine Rescue Brigade rescue procedures or resources (Moura No.2, Sago, Crandall)
- No second egress (Pike River)





2014 Queensland ISHR/SSHR study

- Examined 1165 MI, ISHR & SSHR inspection reports for 19 mines (7 ug & 12 o/c) 1984-2013 (75% since 2000)
- Queensland electronic records of all inspections/follow-ups etc kept and exchanged – this is very important (should occur elsewhere if doesn't now)
- MI 605 (52%); ISHR 473 (41%); SSHR 50 (4%)
- Also interviewed ISHRs & SSHRs at 13 of mines, and senior mines inspector
- Both MI & ISHR/SSHR inspections focused on serious hazards (ie fatality risks)
- Over 90% of ISHR/SSHR reports dealt with at least one fatality risk (many more than one)
- Also strong emphasis on HPIs and incident investigation





ISHR/SSHR study continued

- No evidence ISHR/SSHR reports dealt with anything but safety & sparing use of suspension powers (24 SSHR reports & 3 SSHR – all but 1 related to fatal risks/exception was bullying case)
- 54% of ISHR reports examined documents as well as physical (MI 50% and SSHR around 20%)
- Evidence of system corrosion at some mines & suspensions to prevent serious incidents – in some cases management suspended operations, other cases MI,ISHR,SSHR
- Overall good relationship between MI & SSHR & ISHR/strong complementary roles (little disagreement re suspension)
- Some issues re SSHR presence & management turnover





Policy implications

- Pattern flaws provide reference point for
 - Assessing regulation/identifying gaps
 - Informing inspection practices & incident investigation (eg Pike River, Gleision colliery)
 - Evaluating regulatory regimes
 - Guidance on & auditing of systems and risk assessment
 - Prescription re well known hazards (systems/risk management & prescription balance)
 - Vigorous reporting of any safety critical deviations
 - Strengthening auditing requirements
 - Strengthening regulatory oversight
 - Providing/facilitating meaningful worker input



Concluding observations

- These pattern causes help to explain fatal incidents in mines and other high hazard workplaces (like quarries) & focusing on them would minimise fatalities
- Safety 'culture' was not a pattern cause rather symptom of failure in OHS management regime and priorities
- Systems as hierarchies of control that corrode over time & better suited to routine risk?
- Pattern causes apply to both single fatalities and multiple fatalities (both low frequency/high impact events)

. KRN () (20)

- Changes to work organisation like subcontracting can weaken as can frequent changes to key management positions (corporate policies can exacerbate)
- Clear lessons in terms regulation but battle to implement these in wealthy democratic countries & largely ignored in newly industrialising countries



Concluding observations cont.

- Mining has over 200 years experience to learn from and help other high hazard industries.
- Must ask why lessons from past failures lost/forgotten or not kept?
- Qld and NSW learned important lessons from 1990s disasters and since regulatory reforms no disasters notwithstanding major industry expansion & adjudged world's best practice regulation by Pike River Royal Commission
- Reforms recognised number of pattern causes including the need for comprehensive and rigorously audited management of all major hazards, clear requirements re known hazards/controls, well-resourced proactive inspectorate, and strong worker input.
- Important package as it is mutually reinforcing with multiple feedback loops (internal company, inspectors, safety reps/union) to identify failures and ensure constructive dialogue (potential for different viewpoints is critical).





Concluding observations cont.

- Need to remain vigilant about sustaining these key elements and the ever-present risk of corrosion of even robust regimes (recent black lung cases?)
- Actually entering dangerous period
 - Downturn/job insecurity and industry/corporate restructuring
 - Length of time since last disaster
 - Complacency/over-confidence that paperwork systems reflect actual practices
 - Increased use of subcontractors requires ongoing oversight

. OKEN () () (026)

- Must ensure key roles and 'eyes' get trained and encouraged to speak out/identify problems
- Queensland study found disturbing number of incidents where down to very last line of defence ie late intervention by management, MI, ISHR or SSHR.
- Need reactivated attention from all or history will repeat a degree of unease is essential



a Past forgotten is a Future repeated

References

- M. Quinlan (2014), Ten Pathways to Death and Disaster: Learning from fatal incidents in mines and other high hazard workplaces, Federation Press, Sydney.
- Hopkins, A. & Maslen, S. (2015) *Risky rewards: how company bonuses affect safety*, Ashgate, Farnham, Surrey
- Walters, D. Wadsworth, E. Johnstone, R. & Quinlan, M. (2014) A study of the role of workers' representatives in health and safety arrangements in coal mines in Queensland, Report prepared with support of the CFMEU, Brisbane.

